REVIEW ARTICLES

EXPOSURE TO NON-INFECTIOUS MICROORGANISMS AND ENDOTOXINS IN AGRICULTURE*

Wijnand Eduard

National Institute of Occupational Health, Oslo, Norway

Eduard W: Exposure to non-infectious microorganisms and endotoxins in agriculture. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 1997, **4**, 179–186.

Abstract: Farmers and farm workers can be exposed to large concentrations of airborne fungi and bacteria including actinomycetes, and microbial constituents such as endotoxins. Measurement methods for microorganisms may give different results and need to be further developed to allow personal sampling and species characterization of viable and non-viable microorganisms. Farm work includes many differently exposed tasks and processes. A large number of measurements is therefore needed to estimate long-term exposure in epidemiological studies of farming populations. A more efficient strategy is probably exposure modeling using different determinants of exposure. The literature was therefore reviewed for studies on determinants of exposure to microorganisms in agriculture. In most studies tasks, process and/or production had been studied. Little information is available on other determinants. It was found that exposure to fungi was high during handling grain, hay and bedding material, especially when mouldy, and when tending cattle; exposure to bacteria was high during handling of grain, hay and bedding material and in animal houses; and exposure to endotoxins was high during chopping of bedding material and in animal houses except probably in cowsheds. Exposure showed wide variability between measurements of the same task even within studies. Further studies of other determinants of exposure are recommended in order to improve the accuracy of exposure assessment in epidemiological studies and in future compliance testing.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Wijnand Eduard, National Institute of Occupational Health, PO Box 8149 Dep., N-0033 Oslo, Norway.

Key words: microorganisms, fungi, bacteria, endotoxin, exposure, task, agriculture, review.

BIOAEROSOLS IN AGRICULTURE

Farmers and farm workers are often exposed to airborne dust, especially when working with plant and animal material. Plant fragments and skin scales form a large part of this dust [18]. Microorganisms can be important components as well since they occur naturally in such materials as manure, silage, and compost and can colonize other farm materials when conditions are favourable for growth, e.g., during storage of moist grain, hay and straw. Infectious microorganisms must be viable to cause infections, but infectious as well as non-infectious microorganisms may pose other health hazards even if they are dead and disintegrated. Inhalation of noninfectious microorganisms and their constituents can cause inflammation of the respiratory system while antigens and allergens may activate the immune system and cause allergic and immunotoxic effects [43, 44, 57].

Structural constituents of microorganisms are referred to as primary metabolites while compounds excreted by microorganisms into the environment, e.g., mycotoxins

Received: 9 October 1996

Accepted: 10 March 1997

^{*} This paper was presented as a lecture at the First International Course on "*Exposure to Biological and Chemical Agents, and Health Effects in Agriculture*" which was organised by the Nordic Institute for Advanced Training in Occupational Health and held between 6–10 May in Sem Gjestegaard, Asker, Norway.

and proteolytic enzymes, are referred to as secondary metabolites. Examples of primary metabolites that have been associated with health effects are endotoxins present in the cell walls of Gram-negative bacteria, and $(1\rightarrow3)$ and $(1\rightarrow6)$ - β -glucans in the cell walls of many fungi. Secondary metabolites may be found in particles from colonized materials as well as in the microorganisms and their spores. Mycotoxins can be highly toxic and carcinogenic. They can cause intoxication in animals and in man if their food is infested with toxigenic fungi. The contribution from airborne mycotoxins to occupational disease among farmers needs further clarification, however, as exposure by inhalation is much lower than oral exposure although the lungs may be more susceptible to toxic effects than is indicated by oral dosing [37].

The need for specific identification of microorganisms is dependent on whether exposure-response relationships differ between species. A few animal studies suggest that such differences do exist [4, 23, 60]. However, much more data on exposure-response relationships from human studies is needed before health based occupational exposure limits for specific organisms or broader taxonomic groups can be established. The same applies to substances of microbial origin such as glucans and allergens. The situation is different for endotoxins as experimental and epidemiological studies have provided human data that have been regarded as a sufficient basis to propose health based occupational exposure criteria [30].

Most microorganisms have small cells with bacterial cells typically 0.5-5 μ m diameter and fungal spores 2-10 μ m. Spores from fungi and actinomycetes are often liberated as single spores or small aggregates when mouldy hay and grain is handled and many inhaled spores may reach the alveoli. However, microbial particles may also show larger sizes as microorganisms can form big aggregates and/or be attached to other particles.

MEASUREMENT METHODS

Culture methods have been used widely for measurements of airborne microorganisms in the work environment [12, 13, 21]. Microorganisms that are able to grow in culture with the media and incubation temperatures used can be measured, and species identification is most readily done by these methods. However, non-culturable microorganisms which can also cause health effects cannot be measured. The total number of microorganisms is generally substantially underestimated by culturebased-methods since often only 1 in 10 microbial cells, sometimes only 1 in 1000 are culturable. The proportion of culturable microorganisms within the same work environment may even vary widely over time [8, 28]. A further disadvantage of many culture methods is that most sampling instruments cannot be used as personal samplers and the sampling time is short, typically less than 1 h and less than 1 min in highly contaminated work environments. However, more hardy microorganisms as spores from fungi and actinomycetes can be collected on

 Table 1. Sources of microorganisms on the farm (summarised from Lacey and Dutkiewicz [38]).

Taxonomic group	Source
Gram-negative bacteria	Cereal grain, plant surfaces, animal breeding
Gram-positive cocci	Animal breeding
Corynebacteria	Animal breeding, plant dust
Actinomycetes	Stored hay and grain, compost, soil
Fungi	Plant surfaces, stored hay and grain, compost

filters using personal sampling instruments and prolonged sampling periods.

Filter samples can also be analysed by non-culturebased methods [21]. The total number of microorganisms can be measured by microscopical methods such as light, fluorescence and scanning electron microscopy. Their potential for identification of species is limited although classification by morphological features is feasible in some work environments, e.g. fungal spores in sawmills [22]. Metabolites from microorganisms can be measured with bioassays and immunoassays, and by chemical and molecular biological methods.

Endotoxins are usually measured by biological assays based on the reaction of *Limulus* Amoebocyte Lysate (LAL) with lipopolysaccharide [29, 47, 55]. Endotoxins and $(1\rightarrow 6)$ - β -glucans can also be measured as specific markers for Gram-negative bacteria and fungi, respectively. Immunoassays and molecular biological methods usually have high specificity and allow detection of specific organisms in complex environments. However, the microflora on the farm is complex [19, 20, 38] and many different assays are needed for characterisation of the work environment unless assays for broader taxonomic groups of microorganisms are used.

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

The assessment of exposure to non-infectious microorganisms in epidemiological studies and compliance testing, once occupational exposure limits have been established, should be based on methods that allow personal sampling. In epidemiological studies both short term and long term exposure estimates are interesting as little is known about exposure-response relationships.

Farm work includes many different tasks and processes each differing in the nature of exposure to microorganisms. Since concentrations of microorganisms in the farm environment are often changeable, a large number of measurements is needed if long-term exposure of a single farmer or farm worker is to be estimated by task specific measurements. The measurement effort may be reduced by exposure modelling [7] which has been described in a

Task	Reference	Fungi, cfu/m ³							
		10 ³	10^{4}	10 ⁵	10^{6}	10 ⁷	10 ⁸		
Grain									
harvest	Batel [5]				←	\longrightarrow			
drying	Lappalainen et al. [39]		←		>				
handling	Kotimaa et al. [32]			←	\rightarrow				
	Kotimaa [33]			←	\rightarrow				
crushing	Wardrop et al. [63]			←			\longrightarrow		
	Lappalainen et al. [39]		\leftarrow		\longrightarrow				
Hay handling									
unbaling	Wardrop et al. [63]			←		\rightarrow			
loose hay	Kotimaa et al. [32]			х					
baled hay					х				
?	Kotimaa [33]		←			\longrightarrow			
Bedding material									
handling straw	Kotimaa [33]				←	\longrightarrow			
chopping ^a	Pratt <i>et al.</i> [52]		\leftarrow		\longrightarrow				
chopping, dry	Jones <i>et al.</i> [31]				←		\rightarrow		
chopping, wet				←	\longrightarrow				
Dairy and cattle									
cowshed air	Batel [5]			х					
	Hanhela et al. [25]			←		>	>		
	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]	\leftarrow	\longrightarrow						
tending	Pasanen et al. [51]		←	>	>				
	Lappalainen et al. [39]		←		>	>			
Horse									
horse stable air	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]	\leftarrow	\longrightarrow						
Swine									
pig house air	Batel [5]	Х							
	Clark <i>et al.</i> [10]	<>							
	Travers et al. [61]	\leftarrow	\longrightarrow	•					
	Bækbo [2]	? ———	>	>					
	Cormier et al. [11]	\rightarrow							
	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]	\leftarrow	\longrightarrow	•					
	Crook et al. [14]	←		\rightarrow					
tending	Haglind et al. [24]		х						
Poultry									
poultry house air	Batel [5]	х							
	Clark et al. [10]	\longleftrightarrow							
turkey house air	Mulhausen et al. [49]	←	>						

Table 2. Exposure to fungi measured in farm environments by culture methods.

= range; x = single value or narrow range; ^a = only Aspergillus fumigatus

study of pig farmers using relationships between measured exposure to endotoxins, farm characteristics and duration of tasks [53]. Relationships between exposure to microorganisms and determinants of exposure, e.g. materials, processes, tasks and modifying factors therefore are interesting for exposure modelling in epidemiological studies. Such relationships may also be useful in future compliance testing.

Eduard W

Task	Reference			Bacteria inc	l. actinomyc	etes, cfu/m ³		
		10 ³	10^{4}	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	10 ⁸	10 ⁹
Grain								
harvest	Batel [5]					←	\longrightarrow	
handling	Kotimaa et al. [32]	←		\longrightarrow				
handling	Kotimaa [33]	←	\rightarrow					
crushing	Wardrop et al. [63]					\leftarrow		
Hay handling								
unbaling	Wardrop et al. [63]		←		\longrightarrow			
loose hay	Kotimaa et al. [32]	←	\longrightarrow					
baled hay			~	\longleftrightarrow				
Bedding material								
handling straw	Kotimaa [33]			←		\geq		
Dairy and cattle								
tending	Kotimaa et al. [32]	←		\longrightarrow				
cowshed air	Batel [5]				х			
	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]			\leftrightarrow				
Horse								
horse stable air	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]			\longleftrightarrow				
Swine								
pig house air	Curtis et al. [15]		←		\rightarrow			
	Batel [5]					x		
	Clark et al. [10]			•	\longleftrightarrow			
	Travers et al. [61]			•	.		\rightarrow	
	Bækbo [2]	? ———				\longrightarrow	•	
	Cormier et al. [11]			←	\rightarrow			
	Crook et al. [14]	•	<			\rightarrow		
	Heederik et al. [27]	\leftarrow			\longrightarrow			
	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]				\longleftrightarrow			
tending	Haglind et al. [24]				х			
	Attwood et al. [1]		←		\longrightarrow			
Poultry								
poultry house air	Batel [5]				x			
	Clark et al. [10]			←	\rightarrow			
tending	Reynolds et al. [56]			←				>

Table 3. Exposure to	pacteria including actinomycetes measured in farm environments by culture methods	
----------------------	---	--

 \iff = range; x = single value or narrow range

DETERMINANTS OF EXPOSURE

Microorganisms are common constituents of materials that are handled on the farm such as manure, silage and compost, and can also be found on plant surfaces, skin scales and in soil [38]. Many different species of Gramnegative bacteria, of Gram-positive bacteria including actinomycetes, and of fungi have been identified in the farm environment [19, 20, 38]. The most important sources for main groups of bacteria and fungi as recognised by Lacey and Dutkiewicz [38] have been summarised in Table 1.

Very high exposure may occur if mouldy grain and hay are handled. During storage, plant materials can be colonised by microorganisms if the water content is too high, typically >14%. As water content increases, increasing metabolic activity leads to spontaneous heating. With water contents greater than 35%, temperatures of 65°C may be attained. There is also a fire risk as combustible compounds are produced during

Task	Reference	Microorganisms cfu/m ³							
		10 ³	10 ⁴	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	10 ⁸	10 ⁹	
Grain									
silo unloading	May et al. [45]			←				\rightarrow	
Dairy and cattle									
cowshed air	Dutkiewicz [19]			х					
Poultry									
poultry house air	Dutkiewicz [19]			←		\rightarrow			
Vegetables									
tomato greenhouse	Davies et al. [17]	\longleftrightarrow							
greenhouse	Blomquist et al. [6]	←		\rightarrow					

T 11 4	D		1 *	c		11 1
Table 4	Exposure fo	a microorganisms	measured in	tarm er	ivironments l	by culture methods.
Lable II	Enposare a	5 microor Sumonio	measurea m	iunin en	ivinoninento (y culture methods.

 \iff = range; x = single value or narrow range

Table 5. Exposure to microorganisms in farm environments measured by non-culture methods.

Task	Reference	Microorganisms cells/m ³								
	-	10 ³	10^{4}	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	10 ⁷	10 ⁸	10 ⁹	10 ⁹	
Grain										
harvest	Darke et al. [16]				<	\longrightarrow				
drying	Lappalainen et al. [39]				\leftrightarrow					
barley unloading	Lacey [35]						<	\rightarrow		
crushing	Lappalainen et al. [39]				←	\longrightarrow				
Hay										
harvest, baling	Lacey [35]			<		\rightarrow				
shaking mouldy hay	Lacey & Lacey [34]									
- fungi						\Leftrightarrow				
- actinomycetes								\Leftrightarrow		
Dairy and cattle										
cowshed air	Baruah [3]			←		\rightarrow				
	Hanhela et al. [25]				<	\longrightarrow				
tending	Larsson et al. [40]				<		>			
	Rask-Andersen et al. [54]			←					\longrightarrow	
	Pasanen et al. [51]			<		\rightarrow				
	Lappalainen et al. [39]				←	\rightarrow				
milking	Lacey & Lacey [34]									
- actinomycetes					<	$ \rightarrow $				
- fungi					<	$ \longrightarrow $				
Mushrooms										
compost tipping and spawning	Lacey [35]									
- actinomycetes						←	\longrightarrow			
growing	Sastre et al. [58]									
- Shiitake spores packing					х					
- Shiitake spores		\longleftrightarrow								

= range; x = single value or narrow range

Eduard W

Task	Reference	Endotoxin, ng/m ³							
		10 ¹	10 ²	10 ³	10^{4}	10 ⁵	10 ⁶		
Bedding material									
chopping	Olenchock et al. [50]	<		\longrightarrow					
chopping dry	Jones <i>et al.</i> [31]		←	\longrightarrow					
chopping, wet			\longrightarrow						
Dairy and cattle									
tending	Rask-Andersen et al. [54]				\longrightarrow				
cowshed air	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]	\longleftrightarrow							
Horse									
horse stable air	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]	←			>				
Swine									
pig house air	Crook <i>et al</i> . [14]	\longleftrightarrow							
	Attwood et al. [1]		\longleftrightarrow						
	Heederik et al. [26]	←	\longrightarrow						
	Vinzents et al. [62]	<	>						
	Preller et al. [53]	←		\longrightarrow					
	Dutkiewicz et al. [20]			<		\geq			
weighing pigs	Larsson et al. [41]		←	\rightarrow					
Poultry									
tending	Reynolds et al. [56]	←	\longrightarrow						
collection, loading,	Thelin [59]								
unloading birds				\longrightarrow					

Table 6. Exposure to endotoxins in farm environments.

 \iff = range; x = single value or narrow range

microbial growth. Thermotolerant and thermophilic fungi and actinomycetes usually dominate the microflora after 'spontaneous' heating [36] and these microorganisms may produce large numbers of spores which are easily liberated into the air. In a Finnish study it was found that exposure during handling of stored hay, grain and straw increased with storage time of the hay [33].

The water content of farm materials influences exposure also in a different way as dust is much more easily released from dry than from damp materials, e.g., during bedding chopping [31].

Many other factors can be expected to influence exposure, and factors may also be interrelated. E.g. mechanical operations may liberate more dust into the air than manual work but the worker may be located further from the source during mechanical operation and may even be enclosed in a ventilated cab. The exposure time is also likely to be shorter. Type of equipment, housing and ventilation were related to dust concentrations in poultry houses [42] and farm characteristics and activities were related to endotoxin exposure of pig farmers [53]. Only few studies have been found of other determinants of exposure to microorganisms than task, production and process.

EXPOSURE TO BIOAEROSOLS ON THE FARM

Studies of task, production and process specific exposure have been stratified and are summarised in Tables 2 to 6. The results should be compared with care, as different sampling and analytical methods, sampling times and strategies may have been used.

The results indicate that different tasks and forms of production may lead to different exposure of workers. Exposure to fungi and bacteria (mainly actinomycetes) from handling grain, hay and bedding material is all in the same range and may be very high, $>10^8$ cfu/m³, e.g., when mouldy material is handled. Similar concentrations of airborne fungi have been found when tending cattle but smaller concentrations when tending swine and poultry, typically $<10^5$ cfu/m³. Concentrations of bacteria are generally large in animal houses, up to 10^8 cfu culturable microorganisms/m³ and 10^9 total cells/m³. Concentrations of culturable microorganisms were about an order of magnitude less than concentrations determined with nonviable methods.

Large endotoxin concentrations have been found in animal houses, see Table 6. Very large concentrations

were found in a Swedish study of dairy farmers [54] whereas concentrations were much lower in a Polish study [20]. The authors of the former study suggested that their results could be due to a positive reaction to non-endotoxin components in the LAL test [54]. This has been demonstrated for glucans and other polysaccharides [46, 48]. The LAL test has therefore been improved by several authors [29, 47, 55]. In conclusion, it is more likely that endotoxin concentrations in cow barns are low.

Few studies have measured airborne fungal antigens [9, 52] and mycotoxins [39, 45]. The specificity of immunochemical methods may limits its use in the farm environment which has a complex microflora unless common antigens of broader groups of microorganisms can be measured. Airborne mycotoxins concentrations during grain handling were low.

CONCLUSIONS

Exposure of farmers and farm workers to airborne noninfectious microorganisms and endotoxins depends on task and production and can be highly variable. Thus, estimates of long-term exposure are likely to be inaccurate if based on a limited number of measurements. Development of more efficient strategies, e.g., by exposure modelling using task and other determinants of exposure are therefore needed. Further study of determinants of exposure to microorganisms and endotoxin in agriculture is therefore warranted in order to improve the accuracy of exposure assessment in epidemiological studies of farming populations. There is also a need for further development of methods for the measurement of exposure to viable and non-viable microorganisms allowing personal sampling and species characterisation.

REFERENCES

1. Attwood P, Brouwer R, Ruigewaard P, Versloot P, de Wit R, Heederik D, Boleij J: A study of the relationship between airborne contaminants and environmental factors in Dutch swine confinement buildings. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1987, **48**, 745-751.

2. Bækbo P: Air quality in Danish pig houses. *Hyologisk Tidsskrift Svinet* **1990**, 6-11 (In Danish).

3.Baruah HK: The air spora of a cowshed. *J Gen Microbiol* 1961, **25**, 483-491.

4.Baseler MW, Fogelmark B, Burrell R: Differential toxicity of Gram-negative bacteria. *Infect Immunol* 1983, **40**, 133-138.

5.Batel W: Dust exposure and -composition on agricultural workplaces and derived exposure limits and preventive measures. *Grundl Landtechnik* 1979, **29**, 41-54 (In German).

6.Blomquist G, Palmgren U, Ström G: Methodological aspects of measurements of exposure to mould. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1987, **71, Suppl 154**, 29-36.

7.Boleij J, Buringh E, Heederik D, Kromhout H: Exposure modelling. **In:** *Occupational Hygiene of Chemical and Biological Agents*, 97-136. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995.

8.Burge HA, Boise JR, Rutherford JA, Solomon WR: Comparative recoveries of airborne fungus spores by viable and non-viable modes of volumetric collection. *Mycopathologia* 1977, **61**, 27-33.

9. Campbell AR, Swanson MC, Fernandez-Caldas E, Reed CE, May JJ, Pratt DS: Aeroallergens in dairy barns near Cooperstown, New York and Rochester, Minnesota. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989, **140**, 317-320.

10. Clark CS, Rylander R, Larsson L: Airborne bacteria, endotoxin and fungi in dust in poultry and swine confinement buildings. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1983, **44**, 537-541.

11. Cormier Y, Tremblay G, Meriaux A, Brochu G, Lavoie J: Airborne microbial contents in two types of swine confinement buildings in Quebec. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1990, **51**, 304-309.

12. Crook B: Inertial samplers: biological perspectives. In: Cox S, Wathes CW (Eds): *Bioaerosols Handbook*, 247-267. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1995.

13. Crook B: Non-inertial samplers: biological perspectives. **In**: Cox S, Wathes CW (Eds): *Bioaerosols Handbook*, 269-283. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1995.

14. Crook B, Robertson JF, Travers Glass SA, Botheroyd EM, Lacey J, Topping MD: Airborne dust, ammonia, microorganisms, and antigens in pig confinement houses and the respiratory health of exposed farm workers. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1991, **52**, 271-279.

15. Curtis SE, Drummond JG, Kelley KW, Grunloh DJ, Meares VJ, Norton HW, Jensen AH: Diurnal and annual fluctuations of aerial bacterial and dust levels in enclosed swine houses. *J Animal Sci* 1975, **41**, 1502-1511.

16. Darke CS, Knowelden J, Lacey J, Ward AM: Respiratory disease of workers harvesting grain. *Thorax* 1976, **31**, 294-302.

17. Davies PD, Jacobs R, Mullins J, Davies BH: Occupational asthma in tomato growers following an outbreak of the fungus *Verticillium albo-atrum* in the crop. *J Soc Occup Med* 1988, **38**, 13-17.

18. Donham KJ: Hazardous agents in agricultural dusts and methods of evaluation. Am J Ind Med 1986, **10**, 205-220.

19. Dutkiewicz J: Exposure to dust-borne bacteria in agriculture. I. Environmental studies. *Arch Environ Health* 1978, **33**, 250-259.

20. Dutkiewicz J, Pomorski ZJH, Sitkowska J, Krysińska-Traczyk E, Skórska C, Prażmo Z, Cholewa G, Wójtowicz H: Airborne microorganisms and endotoxin in animal houses. *Grana* 1994, **33**, 85-90.

21. Eduard W: Measurement methods and strategies for noninfectious microbial components in bioaerosols at the workplace. *Analyst* 1996, **121**, 1197-1201.

22. Eduard W, Sandven P, Johansen BV, Bruun R: Identification and quantification of mould spores by scanning electron microscopy (SEM): Analysis of filter samples collected in Norwegian saw mills. *Ann Occup Hyg* 1988, **32**, **Suppl 1**, 447-455.

23. Fogelmark B, Lacey J, Rylander R: Experimental allergic alveolitis after exposure to different microorganisms. *Int J Exp Pathol* 1991, **72**, 387-395.

24. Haglind P, Rylander R: Occupational exposure and lung function measurements among workers in swine confinement buildings. *J Occup Med* 1987, **29**, 904-907.

25. Hanhela R, Louhelainen K, Pasanen AL: Prevalence of microfungi in Finnish cow barns and some aspects of the occurrence of *Wallemia sebi* and Fusaria. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1995, **21**, 223-228.

26. Heederik D, van Zwieten R, Brouwer R: Across-shift lung function changes among pig farmers. *Am J Ind Med* 1990, **17**, 57-58.

27. Heederik D, Brouwer R, Biersteker K, Boleij JSM: Relationship of airborne endotoxin and bacteria levels in pig farms with the lung function and respiratory symptoms of farmers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 1991, **62**, 595-601.

28. Heikkilä PM, Kotimaa M, Tuomi T, Salmi T, Louhelainen K: Identification and counting of fungal spores by scanning electron microscopy (SEM): Analysis of filter samples collected in Norwegian saw mills. *Ann Occup Hyg* 1988, **32**, 241-248.

29. Hollander A, Heederik D, Versloot P, Douwes J: Inhibition and enhancement in the analysis of airborne endotoxin levels in various occupational environments. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1993, **54**, 647-653.

30. International Commission on Occupational Health, The Committee on Organic Dusts: Endotoxins in the environment: A criteria document. *Int J Occup Environ Hith* 1997, **Supplement 3**, S1-S48.

Eduard W

31. Jones WG, Dennis JW, May JJ, Whitmer MP, Siegel PD, Sorenson WG, Schwegler-Berry D, Kullman GJ: Dust control during bedding chopping. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1995, **10**, 467-475.

32. Kotimaa MH, Terho EO, Husman K: Airborne moulds and actinomycetes in work environment of farmers. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1987, **Suppl 152**, 91-100.

33. Kotimaa MH: Spore exposure arising from stored hay, grain, and straw. *J Agric Soc Finland* 1990, **62**, 285-291.

34. Lacey J, Lacey ME: Spore concentrations in the air of farm buildings. *Trans Br Mycol Soc* 1964, **47**, 547-552.

35. Lacey J: Exposure of farm workers to fungi and actinomycetes while harvesting cereal crops and handling stored grain. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1987, **Suppl 154**, 37-43.

36. Lacey J: Airborne spores in farm environment and respiratory disease. In: Griffiths WD (Ed): Aerosols. Their Generation, Behaviour and Applications. First Conference, 117-120. The Aerosol Society, UK, 1987.

37. Lacey J, Auger P, Eduard W, Norn S, Rohrbach MS, Thorne PS: Tannins and mycotoxins. *Am J Ind Med* 1994, **25**, 141-144.

38. Lacey J, Dutkiewicz J: Bioaerosols and occupational lung disease. *J Aerosol Sci* 1994, **25**, 1371-1404.

39. Lappalainen S, Nikulin M, Berg S, Parikka P, Hintikka E-L, Pasanen A-L: Fusarium toxins and fungi in the air and grain during handling of grain on eight Finnish farms. *Atmos Environ*, in press.

40. Larsson K, Malmberg P, Eklund A, Belin L, Blaschke E: Exposure to microorganisms, airway inflammatory changes and immune reactions in asymptomatic dairy farmers. Bronchoalveolar lavage evidence of macrophage activation and permeability changes in the airways. *Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol* 1988, **87**, 127-133.

41. Larsson KA, Eklund AG, Hansson LO, Isaksson BM, Malmberg PO: Swine dust causes intense airways inflammation in healthy subjects. *Am J Respir Dis* 1994, **150**, 973-977.

42. Lyngtveit T, Eduard W: *Dust in Poultry Houses. Influence of Buildings, Equipment and Production Factors.* Department of Agricultural Engineering, Agricultural University of Norway, Ås 1992 (In Norwegian with English summary).

43. Malmberg P: Health effects of organic dust exposure in dairy farmers. *Am J Ind Med* 1990, **17**, 7-15.

44. Malmberg P: Microorganisms. Criteria Documents from the Nordic Expert Group 1991. *Arbete och Hälsa* **1991**, 39-69.

45. May JJ, Pratt DS, Stallones L, Morey PR, Olenchock SA, Deep IW, Bennett GA: A study of silo unloading: The work environment and its physiologic effects. *Am J Ind Med* 1986, **10**, 318.

46. Mikami T, Nagase T, Matsumoto T, Suzuki S, Suzuki M: Gelation of Limulus amebocyte lysate test by simple polysaccharides. *Microbiol Immunol* 1982, **26**, 403-409.

47. Milton DK, Feldman JA, Neuberg DS: Environmental endotoxin measurement: the kinetic limulus assay with resistant parallel-line estimation. *Environ Res* 1992, **57**, 212-230.

48. Morita T, Tanaka S, Nakamura T, Iwanaga S: A new $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - β -glucan-mediated coagulation pathway found in Limulus amebocytes. *FEBS Letters* 1981, **129**, 318-321.

49. Mulhausen JR, McJilton CE, Redig PT, Janni KA: Aspergillus and other human respiratory disease agents in turkey confinement houses. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1987, **48**, 894-899.

50. Olenchock SA, May JJ, Pratt DS, Piacitelli LA, Parker JE: Presence of endotoxins in different agricultural environments. *Am J Ind Med* 1990, **18**, 279-284.

51. Pasanen AL, Kalliokoski P, Pasane P, Salmi T, Tossavainen A: Fungi carried from farmers' work into farm homes. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1989, **50**, 631-633.

52. Pratt DS, May JJ, Reed CE, Swanson MC, Campbell AR, Piacitelli L, Olenchock S, Sorenson W: Massive exposure to aeroallergens in dairy farming: Radioimmunoassay results of dust collection during bedding chopping with culture confirmation. *Am J Ind Med* 1990, **17**, 103-104.

53. Preller L, Heederik DJJ, Kromhout H, Tielen MJM: Determinants of dust and endotoxin exposure of pig farmers: development of a control strategy using empirical modelling. *Ann Occup Hyg*, in press.

54. Rask-Andersen A, Malmberg P, Lundholm M: Endotoxin levels in farming: absence of symptoms despite high exposure levels. *Br J Ind Med* 1989, **46**, 412-416.

55. Reynolds SJ, Milton DK: Comparison of methods for analysis of airborne endotoxin. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1993, **8**, 761-767.

56. Reynolds SJ, Parker D, Vesley D, Janni K, McJilton C: Occupational exposure to organic dusts and gases in the turkey growing industry. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1994, **9**, 493-502.

57. Rylander R: Organic dusts and lung disease: the role of inflammation. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 1994, **1**, 7-10.

58. Sastre J, Ibanez MD, Lopez M, Lehrer SB: Respiratory and immunological reactions among Shiitake (*Lentinus edodes*) mushroom workers. *Clin Exp Allergy* 1990, **20**, 13-19.

59. Thelin A: Endotoxins in poultry production and human lung reactions. *Eur J Respir Dis* 1987, **Suppl 154**, 65-70.

60. Thurston JR, Cysewski SJ, Richard JL: Exposure of rabbits to spores of *Aspergillus fumigatus* or *Penicillium* sp.: Survival of fungi and microscopic changes in the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts. *Am J Vet Res* 1979, **40**, 1443-1449.

61. Travers SA, Crook B, Lacey J: Micro-organisms and dust in pig houses in Aberdeenshire. **In**: Griffiths WD (Ed): *Aerosols. Their Generation, Behaviour and Applications. Second Conference*, 139-145. The Aerosol Society, UK, 1988.

62. Vinzents P, Nielsen BH: Variations in exposures to dust and endotoxin in Danish piggeries. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1992, **53**, 237-241.

63. Wardrop VE, Blyth W, Grant IWB: Farmer's lung in a group of Scottish dairy farms. *Br J Ind Med* 1977, **34**, 186-195.